ISSN NO:1934-7197

Comparative analysis of various algorithms for Multi-objective Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch Problems in Power Systems

CHINNA VEERAIAH CHINTA, *Research Scholar, Career Point University*
Dr YERRA SRINIVASARAO, *Research Supervisor, Career Point University*

Abstract: *Optimal reactive electricity dispatch is one method to improve the overall presentation of an electricity scheme. The goal feature may be decreased with the aid of using figuring out the greatest price of the manage variable. Multi-goal ORPD is the optimization of goal capabilities on the equal time. This paper makes a considerable contribution to the take a look at of recent set of rules thoughts and antique set of rules thoughts for fixing greater complicated parameters and tough power flow problems. The ORPD difficulty is expressed as a nonlinear version with non-stop and discrete variables. Time-various particle optimization, ant lion goal, dragonfly set of rules, and gray wolf optimizer are the cautioned multi-goal algorithms. Optimal reactive electricity dispatch is one method to enhance the overall performance of an electricity system. The goal feature may be decreased with the aid of using figuring out the greatest price of the manage variable. Multi-goal ORPD is the optimization of goal capabilities on the equal time. This paper makes a considerable contribution to the take a look at of recent set of rules thoughts and antique set of rules thoughts for fixing greater complicated parameters and tough power flow problems. The ORPD difficulty is expressed as a nonlinear version with non-stop and discrete variables. Time-various particle optimization, ant lion goal, dragonfly set of rules, and gray wolf optimizer are the cautioned multi-goal algorithms.*

Keywords: Multi-objective ORPD, Optimal reactive power dispatch, Time-varying particle optimization.

Introduction: An electrical power generation system is essential to meet the demands of electricity consumers at the lowest possible cost, with a long-term energy supply, the lowest possible actual power loss, and the lowest possible total voltage variation. Setting a parameterwhich affects the aims to be attained is required to achieve those goals. The optimum power flow approach is the name of the strategy (OPF). The approximation technique was used to tackle the OPF problem early on in its development. However, the OPF, which is susceptible to limitations, was implemented in 1962. Because knowledge in the field of power systems is still evolving, [1-3] OPF is divided into two parts: actual power flow optimization and reactive power optimization. Optimal reactive power dispatch is a research sub-section of reactive power management. The reactive power is produced by the phase mismatch between [4] alternating voltage and current. Reactive power and voltage control are two different elements of the same activity. The control problem is handled by identifying the factors that have an impact on both. The ORPD's goal is to allocate reactive power efficiently. Because knowledge in the field of power systems is still evolving, OPF is divided into two parts: actual power flow optimization and reactive power optimization. Optimal reactive power dispatch is a research sub-section of [5-7] reactive power management. The reactive power is produced by the phase mismatch between alternating voltage and current. Reactive power and voltage control are two different elements of the same activity. The control problem is handled by identifying the factors that have an impact on both. The ORPD's goal is to allocate reactive power efficiently. The intricacy of the ORPD problem being solved has a big impact on the quality of the solution. By simplifying the algorithm and improving the computer's specs, the computational time efficiency is increased. Because the growth of an increasingly complicated power system necessitates the continued search for effective and efficient solutions, researchers must continue to hunt for them. To date, scholars have paid a lot of attention to several metaheuristic methods. The methodology can be used to address issues that cannot be solved using standard approaches.The major contribution of this paper is to investigate concepts from four new algorithms as well as fresh ideas from an old algorithm in order to tackle more complicated and demanding ORPD issues. The multi-goal dragonfly algorithm [8-11], gray wolf optimizer , multi-verse optimization strategy, and multi-goal time-various particle swarm optimization are a number of the multi-goal algorithms. The motive of fine-tuning the number one acceleration factor (c1) is to beautify the exploration way at the start and decrease it at the stop of time, and (iii). The use of fine-tuning to the second acceleration factor (c2) seeks to gradual down the exploitation way at the start and speed it up towards the stop of time. During the optimization way, the ones strategies will generate plenty of community and global solutions that are perfect to the demands.

Literature survey:

Researchers ought to create powerful and green answers for tackling modern-day demanding situations in an increasing number of developed and complex electricity system. Traditional methods together with quadratic programming and interior-factor evaluation have been used to remedy problems withinside the begin of its development. Convergence pace is a bonus of traditional strategies. The strategies, on the opposite hand, have drawbacks, together with the requirement for differentiable and non-stop goal functions, untimely convergence, and an inclination to be tough while coping with an excessive variety of variables. Meta-heuristic optimization methods are actually receiving numerous hobby from optimization experts. The methods are primarily based totally on evolutionary theory, bodily facts, and swarm theory. The use of meta heuristic strategies together with large-bang, large crunch, and firefly optimization, hybrid Harrison hawk optimization primarily based totally on differential evolution, a changed grasshopper optimization set of rules, an progressed concord seek, a novel-green evolutionary-primarily based totally multi-goal optimization, an green cuckoo bird-stimulated meta-heuristic set of rules, and symbiotic organisms seek have all been located to be beneficial. The chaotic bat set of rules, the hybrid PSO, imperialist aggressive algorithms, gaussian bare-bones water cycle set of rules, multi-goal ant lion optimization, fractional-order Darwinian particle swarm optimization, and different methods are used to cope with the ORPD problem. By combining awesome algorithms, utilizing different operators, and sticking to the fundamental concept of the set of rules, the strategies have confirmed their achievement in growing set of rules overall performance. Because the optimization procedure is performed with numerous goals on the equal time, the methods are deemed green. All manipulate factors, on the opposite hand, are dealt with as non-stop variables. The ORPD problem is idea to be greater green and complex. Artificial bee colonies, multi-goal PSO, multi-goal progressed PSO, and changed imperialist aggressive set of rules have all been a success in overcoming those issues. Although the ABC approach solves the problems, financial institution capacitors aren't dealt with as discrete variables. The MOEPSO set of rules overall performance has been progressed with the aid of using the addition of a mutation operator that has been proven to be powerful in addressing ORPD issues. In using the ones 3 methods, simultaneous multi-goal optimization and the mixture of variable sorts is a complex and tough task.

Mathematical Problem Formulation:

A. MORPD Objective Functions

The goal function for real power losses is to reduce real power losses in the power scheme. Without violating the equality and inequality requirements, the optimization step is completed. The objective function is written as follows:

$$Min\,J(P) = \sum g(V_r^2 + V_s^2 - 2V_sV_r cos\theta)$$

Total voltage deviation: the goal of this objective function is to reduce total potential variation throughout the power system's whole load bus. The objective function is written as follows:

$$Min\,J(TVD) = \sum |V_{PQ} - V_{PQ}^{REF}|$$

B. Constraints

Equality Constraints

In the optimization process, the actual and reactive power flow balances must be fulfilled. This means that the quantity of electricity generated by the generator must equal the amount of power used by the load. The equations below are used to simulate the power balances.

$$P_G - P_{PQ} = V_r \sum V_s(G_r cos\theta + B_r sin\theta)$$

$$Q_G - Q_{PQ} = V_r \sum V_s(G_r cos\theta - B_r sin\theta)$$

Where PG is the quantity of actual electricity injected through the generator, QG is the quantity of reactive electricity injected through the plant at the r-th bus, PPQ is the quantity of actual electricity absorbed through the load, QPQ is the quantity of reactive electricity absorbed through the load, Gr is the price of channel conductance from the r-th bus to the s-th bus, and Br is the price of channel susceptance from the r-th bus to the s-th bus

Inequality Constraints

Generator constraints: the bus generator's voltage magnitudes and reactive power supply must all be within the operating limitations.The following diagram depicts the modeling of these factors as well as their constraints.

$$V_G^{min} \leq V_G \leq V_G^{max}$$

$$Q_G^{min} \leq Q_G \leq Q_G^{max}$$

Transformer constraints: the utility charge of the transformer's tap ratio must fall between the higher and lower limitations. This variable's boundary equation is given below.

$$T_r^{min} \leq T_r \leq T_r^{max}$$

Shunt compensator constraints: the reactive power compensator's utility value must fall between upper and lower limitations. This variable's boundary equation is written as follows.

$$Q_c^{min} \leq Q_c \leq Q_c^{max}$$

All power magnitude standards on the load bus essentially meet the higher and lower limitations for security reasons. While electricity distribution across the network is limited to the extreme capacity. The following is the boundary equivalence for these parameters.

$$V_{pq}^{min} \leq V_{pq} \leq V_{pq}^{max}$$

$$S_K^{min} \leq S_k \leq S_k^{max}$$

Optimization Algorithms Description:

A. PSO that varies with time

A population-based algorithm is the PSO algorithm. The method is easy to use and has a high level of resilience when it comes to adjusting its parameters. To each population, the PSO algorithm simply smears the notions of location and velocity. This research also made use of leaders gathered from secondary repositories. Below is the PSO algorithm with velocity and location.

$$v_i^j(t+1) = w(t)v_i^j(t)$$

$$x_i^j(t+1) = v_i^j(t+1) + x_i^j(t)$$

The inertia weight changes with time. The performance of the PSOhas a big impact on variable changes from linear inertial-weight. In overall, while solving an optimization issue that involves a populace, the population's variety is required alternatively throughout the exploration and exploitation processes. The optimization was more improved using PSO-timechanging acceleration technique with this in mind. The goal of this approach is to make time swaps on both local and global searches.

$$w(t) = w_2 + \left[t_{max} - \frac{t}{t_{max}}\right]^{\frac{t}{tmax}} (w_1 - w_2)$$

Ant Lion Optimization

The ant lion's hunting and foraging interactions are mimicked by the ALO. This algorithm's optimization method employs multiple techniques, which are briefly described below: The arbitrary walk is the natural crusade of ants in search of food. The ALO algorithm is utilized in the approach, which is outlined below.

$$x(t) = [0, cum_{sum(2r(t_2-1))} \ldots\ldots]$$

Trapping in ant lion pits, constructing traps, and ants glidingnear the ant lion are the following methods. The subsequent mathematical equivalences are used to model these strategies:

$$c^t = \frac{t_{max} c^t}{10^w t}$$

$$c^t = \frac{t_{max} c^t}{10^w t}$$

Wherect is the variable's minimum value in the t-iteration, dt is the variable's highest value in the t-iteration, and w is the adaptive and provisionalpersistent.

The final stage of prey hunting is catching the animal. While the pit is being rebuilt, new prey is being sought. The final step of prey hunting is outlined below. Operator elitism is utilized to ensure that the best solution is retained in each optimization phase.

Dragonfly Algorithm

The Dragonfly Algorithm (DA) is inspired by the uniqueness of dragonfly behavior. When dragonflies congregate to hunt for prey, they exhibit static behavior. While dragonflies travel in swarms and in the same direction across long distances, they exhibit dynamic behavior. The distinction between these two behaviors is crucial to the dual optimization stages, specifically the exploration and exploitation processes. The following techniques are used to explain the

ISSN NO:1934-7197

uniqueness: Dragonflies use the behavior of separation (Si) to evade static crashes in their surroundings. The adjustment of location amongst dragonflies in their surroundings is known as alignment behavior (Ai). The propensity of dragonflies to flock near the centre of mass is known as cohesion behavior (Ci). Dragonflies must be concerned in locating nutrition sources (Fi) and overcoming adversary intrusion from the external in order to survive (Ei).

Each of these behaviors is given a weighted to achieve convergence throughout the optimization phase. The following are the equations for inertial-weight and inertia on the performance of every dragonfly:

$$w = 0.9 - \frac{t(0.1 - 0.0)}{t_{max}/2}$$

Where wd is the load of dragonfly conduct the usage of adaptive weight requirements, and the load price of each (except for f) is similar to wd for t 0.75tmax. The weight price (except for f) is wd/t while t is much less than 0.75tmax. The weight price f is two times the random price for all iterations. The role vector (X) and the step vector (X) are used to resume the region and motion of the dragonfly. The Levy flight operator is hired while the optimization approach isn't to be had withinside the surrounding solutions. Below is a mathematical illustration of the dragonfly step.

$$\Delta X = (sS_i + aA_i + cC_i + fF_i + eE_i) + w\Delta X$$

Grey Wolf Optimizer

The GWO algorithm is based on grey wolf's distinctive characteristics, such as dominating social leadership and hunting methods. Circling, assaulting, and discovering prey tactics are all examples of unusual hunting behavior. The GWO algorithm, which is detailed below, uses the uniqueness of these characteristics to solve the optimization problem: As long as the wolves interact, social leadership is social dominance. Alpha Wolf is regarded as the first-best option. Beta wolf and delta are the second and third best options, respectively. The omega wolf is seen to be optimum answer. Wolves with the categories, and have a greater capacity to guide other wolves when hunting. Omega wolves, on the other hand, solely follow the instructions of the other three wolves. The habit of wolves surrounding prey is a trapping method used by wolves. Encircling prey (D) is wolves' behavior when they discover prey, in which case the wolves will reposition themselves X(t+1). In the equations below, this behavior is quantitatively represented.

$$D = |CX_p(t) - X(t)|$$

$$X(t+1) = X_p(t) - A.D$$

Where D denotes the wolf's sphericalperformance, A and C denote coefficient principles, Xp denotes prey position, and X denoteslocation of every wolf. A and C's mathematical models are presented below.

$$A = 2ar_1 - a$$

$$C = 2r_2$$

Where a is a linearly decreasing number from 2 to 0 per iteration, and r1/r2 are random values between 0 and 1. Grey wolves engage in hunting when they are led by alpha, beta, or delta wolves in search of prey. These are the three greatest options that have been saved. Other wolves, including Omega, must reapply for their jobs. The mathematical formula for wolf behavior in circling prey and the optimal wolf's position can be given as.

$$X(t+1) = (X_1 + X_2 + X_3)/3$$

Attacking prey (exploitation) is the last stage of hunting before the victim stops moving. Component A is reduced to suit this technique, and component A is reduced as well. Constituent A is in [-2a, 2a] choice of arbitrary values. Element C does not decline in a linear fashion. This component aids the exploration phase of this algorithm, ensuring that it does not become stuck in local optimization.

Multi-verse Optimizer

The MVO algorithm was exposed as a result of the Big Bang hypothesis, which is concerned with multi verses and the beginning of the universe. White holes, black holes, and wormholes are the three ideas utilized in theory. The white hole is used as the first exploration phase in this method. The second exploring method is to use a black hole. Wormholes, on the other hand, are used as a means of exploitation. Inflation occurs in every universe. The higher the universe's inflation value, the better the fitness value. Inflation is used to achieve objectives that are either reduced or exploited. The MVO procedure has the benefit of allowing entire solutions to contribute to the generation of novel ones. During the optimization phase, the elitism machinist is employed to save algorithm's finest answer. The mutation operator, on the other hand, is not used with completely random variables. This is due to the fact that the greatest solutions are linked. A wormhole is a connection between two solutions.

$$x_i^k = x_k + TDR(ub_k - lb_k)r_4 + lb_k, if\ r_3 < 0.5, r_2 < WEP$$

$$x_i^k = x_k - TDR(ub_k - lb_k)r_4 + lb_k, if\ r_3 \geq 0.5, r_2 < WEP$$

$$x_i^k = x_i^k, if\ r_2 \geq WEP$$

Where x^k represents the o^k variable withinside the i-th solution, x^k represents the o^k-variable withinside the quality solution, WEP represents the chance of wormholes, TDR represents the mileage rate, lbk represents the decrease boundary of the o^k-th dimension, u_{bk} represents the top restriction of the ok-dimension, and r_2-r_4 represents random values. The r_2-r_4 price distribution may be tweaked to emphasize the exploration process' convergence.

Multi-objective Strategy and Handling Constraints

To remedy multi-goal optimization troubles concurrently, numerous techniques are employed, consisting of dominant and non-dominant Pareto. When evaluating answers, Pareto dominance method that each one goal feature goal values are advanced while as compared to different answers. Non-ruled is a contrast of answers wherein nor is dominant. To placed it every other way, every answer has simply one of the advanced goal feature values. An outside repository is used to address each answers, and it's miles divided into parts: a. The process of an archived controller is to perceive which answers have to be brought and deleted from the archive. The technique is constructed on a fixed of standards for answers that do not compete with one every other. Grid's aim is to create a calmly disbursed Pareto front. The manner of associating a brand-new answer and deleting an antique one is primarily based totally on the answer density's chance value.

Conclusions:

A considerable contribution to the take a look at of recent set of rules thoughts and antique set of rules thoughts for fixing greater complicated variables and tough ORPD problems has been given in this paper. The ORPD difficulty is expressed as a nonlinear version with non-stop and discrete variables. Time-various particle optimization, ant lion goal, dragonfly set of rules, and gray wolf optimizer are the cautioned multi-goal algorithms.

References:

[1]. R. P. Singh, V. Mukherjee, and S. P. Ghoshal, "Optimal reactive power dispatch by particle swarm optimization with an aging leader and challengers," Appl. Soft Comput. J., vol. 29, pp. 298–309, 2015.
[2]. S. Mirjalili, "The ant lion optimizer," Adv. Eng. Softw., vol. 83, pp. 80–98, 2015.
[3]. S. Mirjalili, P. Jangir, and S. Saremi, "Multi-objective ant lion optimizer: a multiobjective optimization algorithm for solving engineering problems," Appl. Intell., vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 79–95, 2017.
[4]. S. Mirjalili, "Dragonfly algorithm: a new meta-heuristic optimization technique for solving single-objective, discrete, and multi-objective problems," Neural Comput. Appl., vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1053–1073, 2016.
[5]. S. Mirjalili, S. M. Mirjalili, and A. Lewis, "Grey wolf optimizer," Adv. Eng. Softw., vol. 69, pp. 46–61, 2014.

[6]. S. Mirjalili, S. Saremi, S. M. Mirjalili, and L. D. S. Coelho, "Multi-objective grey wolf optimizer: A novel algorithm for multi-criterion optimization," Expert Syst. Appl., vol. 47, pp. 106–119, 2016.

[7]. S. Mirjalili, S. M. Mirjalili, and A. Hatamlou, "Multi-verse optimizer: A nature-inspired algorithm for global optimization," Neural Comput. Appl., vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 495–513, 2016.

[8]. S. Mirjalili, P. Jangir, S. Z. Mirjalili, S. Saremi, and I. N. Trivedi, "Knowledge based systems multi-verse optimization algorithm," Knowledge-Based Syst., vol. 0, pp. 1–22, 2017.

[9]. A. Saha, A. Bhattacharya, A. K. Chakraborty, and P. Das, "A powerful metaheuristic algorithm to solve static optimal power flow problems: Symbiotic organisms search," Int. J. Electr. Eng. Informatics, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 585–614, 2018.

[10]. S. Kanata, Suwarno, G. H. Sianipar, and N. U. Maulidevi, "Hybrid time varying particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithm to solve optimal reactive power dispatch problem," in 2019 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (ICEEI). IEEE, 2019, pp. 580–585.

[11]. Y. Amrane, A. Elmaouhab, M. Boudour, and A. A. Ladjici, "Voltage stability analysis based on multi-objective optimal reactive power dispatch under various contingency," Int. J. Electr. Eng. Informatics, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 521–541, 2017.

[12]. Z. Li, Y. Cao, L. Van Dai, X. Yang, and T. T. Nguyen, "Finding solutions for optimal reactive power dispatch problem by a novel improved antlion optimization algorithm," Energies, vol. 12, no. 15, 2019.

[13]. B. Baddu Naik, C. Padmanabha Raju, and R. Srinivasa Rao, "A constriction factor based particle swarm optimization for congestion management in transmission systems," Int. J. Electr. Eng. Informatics, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 232–241, 2018.

[14]. R. Ng Shin Mei, M. H. Sulaiman, Z. Mustaffa, and H. Daniyal, "Optimal reactive power dispatch solution by loss minimization using moth-flame optimization technique," Appl. Soft Comput. J., vol. 59, pp. 210–222, 2017.